arXiv:1604.08717v2 [math.NT] 25 Dec 2016

On Two Diophantine Inequalities Over Primes

Min Zhang* & Jinjiang Li†

Department of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology*†

Beijing 100083, P. R. China

Abstract: Let $1 < c < 37/18$, $c \neq 2$ and N be a sufficiently large real number. In this paper, we prove that, for almost all $R \in (N, 2N]$, the Diophantine inequality $|p_1^c + p_2^c + p_3^c - R| < \log^{-1} N$ is solvable in primes p_1, p_2, p_3. Moreover, we also investigate the problem of six primes and prove that the Diophantine inequality $|p_1^c + p_2^c + p_3^c + p_4^c + p_5^c + p_6^c - N| < \log^{-1} N$ is solvable in primes p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6 for sufficiently large real number N.

Keywords: Diophantine inequality; Waring-Goldbach problem; prime number; exponential sum

MR(2010) Subject Classification: 11L20, 11P05, 11P55

1 Introduction and main result

In 1952, Piatetski-Shapiro [13] considered the following analogue of the Waring-Goldbach problem. Assume that $c > 1$ is not an integer and let ε be a positive number. If r is a sufficiently large integer (depending only on c), then the inequality

$$|p_1^c + p_2^c + \cdots + p_r^c - N| < \varepsilon \tag{1.1}$$

has a solution in prime numbers $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_r$ for sufficiently large N. More precisely, if the least r such that (1.1) has a solution in prime numbers for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N > N_0(c, \varepsilon)$ is denoted by $H(c)$, then it is proved in [13] that

$$\limsup_{c \to \infty} \frac{H(c)}{c \log c} \leqslant 4.$$

In [13], Piatetski-Shapiro also proved that if $1 < c < 3/2$, then $H(c) \leqslant 5$. The upper bound $3/2$ for c was improved successively to

$$\frac{14142}{8923} = 1.5848\cdots, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} = 1.6180\cdots, \frac{81}{40} = 2.025, \frac{108}{53} = 2.0377\cdots, 2.041$$

†Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: min.zhang.math@gmail.com (M. Zhang), jinjiang.li.math@gmail.com (J. Li).

by Zhai and Cao [20], Garaev [7], Zhai and Cao [22], Shi and Liu [16], Baker and Weingartner [1], respectively.

On the other hand, the Vinogradov-Goldbach theorem [19] suggests that at least for c close to 1, one should expect $H(c) \leqslant 3$. The first result in this direction was obtained by D. I. Tolev [18], who showed that the inequality

$$|p_1^c + p_2^c + p_3^c - N| < \varepsilon \tag{1.2}$$

with $\varepsilon = N^{-(1/c)(15/14-c)} \log^9 N$ is solvable in primes p_1, p_2, p_3, provided that $1 < c < 15/14$ and N is sufficiently large. Later, Tolev's range was enlarged to $1 < c < 13/12$ in Cai [3], $1 < c < 11/10$ in Cai [4] and Kumchev-Nedeva [11] independently, $1 < c < 237/214$ in Cao and Zhai [5], $1 < c < 61/55$ in Kumchev [10], $1 < c < 10/9$ in Baker and Weingartner [2].

Laporta [12] studied the corresponding binary problem, which can be viewed as an inequality analogue of the Goldbach's conjecture for even numbers. Suppose $1 < c < 15/14$ fixed, N a large real number and $\varepsilon = N^{1-15/(14c)} \log^8 N$. Then Laporta proved that the inequality

$$|p_1^c + p_2^c - R| < \varepsilon \tag{1.3}$$

is solvable for all $R \in (N, 2N] \setminus \mathfrak{A}$ with $|\mathfrak{A}| \ll N \exp\left(-\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\log N}{c}\right)^{1/5}\right)$. Zhai and Cao [21] improved Laporta's [12] result and proved for $1 < c < 43/36$ fixed and for all $R \in (N, 2N] \setminus \mathfrak{A}$ with $|\mathfrak{A}| \ll N \exp\left(-\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\log N}{c}\right)^{1/5}\right)$, the inequality (1.3) is solvable with primes $p_1, p_2 \leqslant N^{1/c}$ and $\varepsilon = N^{1-43/(36c)}$.

In this paper we shall prove the following two Theorems.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $1 < c < 37/18$, $c \neq 2$ and N be a sufficiently large real number. Then for all $R \in (N, 2N] \setminus \mathfrak{P}$ with*

$$|\mathfrak{P}| \ll N \exp\left(-\frac{2}{15}\left(\frac{1}{c}\log\frac{2N}{3}\right)^{1/5}\right),$$

the inequality

$$|p_1^c + p_2^c + p_3^c - R| < \log^{-1} N \tag{1.4}$$

is solvable in three prime variables p_1, p_2, p_3, where η is sufficiently small positive number.

Remark. The best result up to date for $H(c) \leqslant 3$ was obtained by Baker and Weingartner [2], who prove that $1 < c < 10/9$. From Theorem 1.1, one can expect that the range of c for $H(c) \leqslant 3$ should be improved to $1 < c < 37/18$, $c \neq 2$. Moreover, it is

conjectured that the range of c, which holds for $H(c) \leqslant 3$, is $1 < c < 3$, $c \neq 2$. Therefore, the range of c for $H(c) \leqslant 3$ has huge space to improve, though such a strong conjecture is out of reach at present.

Theorem 1.2 *Suppose that $1 < c < 37/18$, $c \neq 2$, then there exists a number $N_0(c)$ such that for each real number $N > N_0(c)$ the inequality*

$$|p_1^c + p_2^c + p_3^c + p_4^c + p_5^c + p_6^c - N| < \log^{-1} N \tag{1.5}$$

is solvable in six prime variables $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6$.

Notation. Throughout this paper, N always denotes a sufficiently large real number; η always denotes an arbitrary small positive constant, which may not be the same at different occurances; p always denotes a prime number; $n \sim N$ means $N < n \leqslant 2N$; $X \asymp N^{1/c}$, which is determined during each proof of the Theorems; $\tau = X^{1-c-\eta}$, $\varepsilon = \log^{-2} X$, $K = \log^5 X$; $\Lambda(n)$ denotes von Mangold's function; $\mu(n)$ denotes Möbius function; $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$; $\mathscr{L} = \log X$; $E = \exp(-\mathscr{L}^{1/5})$,

$$P = \left(\frac{2}{E^2}\right)^{1/3}\mathscr{L}, \quad S(x) = \sum_{X/2<p\leqslant X} \log p \cdot e(p^c x), \quad I(x) = \int_{\frac{X}{2}}^{X} e(t^c x)\mathrm{d}t.$$

2 Preliminary Lemmas

Lemma 2.1 *Let a, b be real numbers, $0 < b < a/4$, and let k be a positive integer. There exists a function $\varphi(y)$ which is k times continuously differentiable and such that*

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(y) = 1, & \text{for} \quad |y| \leqslant a - b, \\ 0 < \varphi(y) < 1, & \text{for} \quad a - b < |y| < a + b, \\ \varphi(y) = 0, & \text{for} \quad |y| \geqslant a + b, \end{cases}$$

and its Fourier transform

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e(-xy)\varphi(y)\mathrm{d}y$$

satisfies the inequality

$$|\Phi(x)| \leqslant \min\left(2a, \frac{1}{\pi|x|}, \frac{1}{\pi|x|}\left(\frac{k}{2\pi|x|b}\right)^k\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. See Piatetski-Shapiro [13] or Segal [15]. ■

Lemma 2.2 *Let G, F be twice differentiable on $[A,B]$, $|G(x)| \leqslant H$, G/F' monotonic. If $F' \geqslant K > 0$ on $[A,B]$, then*

$$\int_A^B G(x)e(F(x))\mathrm{d}x \ll HK^{-1}.$$

Proof. See Titchmarsh [17], Lemma 4.3. ■

Lemma 2.3 *Suppose $M > 1, c > 1, \gamma > 0$. Let $\mathscr{A}(M;c,\gamma)$ denote the number of solutions of the inequality*

$$|n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c - n_4^c| < \gamma, \qquad M < n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \leqslant 2M,$$

then

$$\mathscr{A}(M;c,\gamma) \ll (\gamma M^{4-c} + M^2)M^{\eta}.$$

Proof. See Robert and Sargos [14], Theorem 2. ■

Lemma 2.4 *For $1 < c < 3, c \neq 2$, we have*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} I^6(x)e(-xN)\Phi(x)\mathrm{d}x \gg \varepsilon X^{6-c}.$$

Proof. Denote the above integral by $\mathscr{H}$. We have

$$\mathscr{H} := \int_{\frac{X}{2}}^{X} \cdots \int_{\frac{X}{2}}^{X} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e\big((t_1^c + t_2^c + \cdots + t_6^c - N)x\big)\Phi(x)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}t_6.$$

The change of the order of integration is legitimate because of the absolute convergence of the integral. From Lemma 2.1 with $a = 9\varepsilon/10$, $b = \varepsilon/10$, by using the Fourier inversion formula we get

$$\mathscr{H} = \int_{\frac{X}{2}}^{X} \cdots \int_{\frac{X}{2}}^{X} \varphi(t_1^c + t_2^c + \cdots + t_6^c - N)\mathrm{d}t_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}t_6.$$

By the definition of $\varphi(y)$ we get

$$\mathscr{H} \geqslant \int_{\substack{\frac{X}{2} \\ |t_1^c+\cdots+t_6^c-N|<\frac{4}{5}\varepsilon}}^{X} \cdots \int_{\frac{X}{2}}^{X} \mathrm{d}t_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}t_6 \geqslant \int_{\lambda X}^{\mu X} \cdots \int_{\lambda X}^{\mu X} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{M}} \mathrm{d}t_6 \right) \mathrm{d}t_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}t_5,$$

where λ and μ are real numbers such that

$$\frac{1}{2} < \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{1/c} < \lambda < \mu < \left(1 - \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{2^c}\right)^{1/c} < 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M} &= \left[\frac{X}{2}, X\right] \bigcap \left[\left(N - \frac{4\varepsilon}{5} - t_1^c - \cdots - t_5^c\right)^{1/c}, \left(N + \frac{4\varepsilon}{5} - t_1^c - \cdots - t_5^c\right)^{1/c}\right] \\ &= \left[\left(N - \frac{4\varepsilon}{5} - t_1^c - \cdots - t_5^c\right)^{1/c}, \left(N + \frac{4\varepsilon}{5} - t_1^c - \cdots - t_5^c\right)^{1/c}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Thus by the mean-value theorem we have

$$\mathscr{H} \gg \varepsilon \int_{\lambda X}^{\mu X} \cdots \int_{\lambda X}^{\mu X} (\xi_{t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5})^{1/c-1} \mathrm{d}t_1 \cdots \mathrm{d}t_5,$$

where $\xi_{t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5} \asymp X^c$. Therefore, $\mathscr{H} \gg \varepsilon X^{6-c}$, which proves the lemma. ■

Lemma 2.5 *We have*

$$A = \max_{R' \in (N,2N]} \int_N^{2N} \left| \int_{\tau<|x|<K} e\left((R-R')x\right) \mathrm{d}x \right| \mathrm{d}R \ll \mathscr{L}.$$

Proof. See Laporta [12], Lemma 1. ■

Let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be measurable subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let

$$\|f\|_j = \left(\int_{\Omega_j} |f(y)|^2 \mathrm{d}y\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \langle f, g\rangle_j = \int_{\Omega_j} f(y)\overline{g(y)}\mathrm{d}y \ \ (j = 1, 2),$$

be the usual norm and inner product in $L^2(\Omega_j, \mathbb{C})$, respectively.

Lemma 2.6 *Let $c \in L^2(\Omega_1, \mathbb{C})$, $\xi \in L^2(\Omega_2, \mathbb{C})$, and let ω be a measurable complex valued function on $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ such that*

$$\sup_{x\in\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_2} |\omega(x,y)|\mathrm{d}y < +\infty, \quad \sup_{y\in\Omega_2} \int_{\Omega_1} |\omega(x,y)|\mathrm{d}x < +\infty.$$

Then we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_1} c(x)\langle \xi, \omega(x,\cdot)\rangle_2 \mathrm{d}x \right| \leqslant \|\xi\|_2 \|c\|_1 \left(\sup_{x'\in\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_1} \left|\langle \omega(x,\cdot), \omega(x',\cdot)\rangle_2\right| \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. See Laporta [12], Lemma 2. ■

Lemma 2.7 *For $1 < c < 37/18$, $c \neq 2$, we have*

$$\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x \ll X^{2-c} \log^3 X, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |I(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x \ll X^{2-c} \log X. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. See Tolev [18], Lemma 7. Although in Tolev's paper, c is in the range $(1, 15/14)$, it can be easily seen that his lemma is true for $c \in (1,2) \cup (2,3)$, and so do his Lemmas $11-14$. In fact, the proofs of Lemma 7 and Lemmas $11-14$ in [18] have nothing to do with the range of c. ■

Lemma 2.8 *For* $1 < c < 37/18$, $c \neq 2$, $|x| \leqslant \tau$, *then*

$$S(x) = I(x) + O\left(Xe^{-(\log X)^{1/5}}\right).$$

Proof. See Tolev [18], Lemma 14. ■

Lemma 2.9 *For* $1 < c < 37/18$, $c \neq 2$, *we have*

$$\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(x)|^4 \mathrm{d}x \ll X^{4-c} \log^5 X, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |I(x)|^4 \mathrm{d}x \ll X^{4-c} \log^5 X. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. We only prove (2.4). Inequality (2.5) can be proved likewise.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tau}^{\tau} |S(x)|^4 \mathrm{d}x &= \sum_{\frac{X}{2} < p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \leqslant X} (\log p_1) \cdots (\log p_4) \int_{\tau}^{\tau} e\left((p_1^c + p_2^c - p_3^c - p_4^c)x\right) \mathrm{d}x \\
&\ll \sum_{\frac{X}{2} < p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \leqslant X} (\log p_1) \cdots (\log p_4) \cdot \min\left(\tau, \frac{1}{|p_1^c + p_2^c - p_3^c - p_4^c|}\right) \\
&\ll U\tau \log^4 X + V \log^4 X, \qquad (2.6)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$U = \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{2} < n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \leqslant X \\ |n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c - n_4^c| \leqslant 1/\tau}} 1\,, \qquad V = \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{2} < n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \leqslant X \\ |n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c - n_4^c| > 1/\tau}} \frac{1}{|n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c - n_4^c|}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
U &\ll \sum_{\frac{X}{2} < n_1 \leqslant X} \sum_{\frac{X}{2} < n_2 \leqslant X} \sum_{\frac{X}{2} < n_3 \leqslant X} \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{2} < n_4 \leqslant X \\ (n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c - 1/\tau)^{1/c} \leqslant n_4 \leqslant (n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c + 1/\tau)^{1/c} \\ n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c \asymp X^c}} 1 \\
&\ll \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{2} < n_1, n_2, n_3 \leqslant X \\ n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c \asymp X^c}} \left(1 + (n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c + 1/\tau)^{1/c} - (n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c - 1/\tau)^{1/c}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

and by the mean-value theorem

$$U \ll X^3 + \frac{1}{\tau} X^{4-c}. \tag{2.7}$$

Obviously, $V \leqslant \sum_{\ell} V_\ell$, where

$$V_\ell = \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{2}<n_1,\,n_2,\,n_3,\,n_4\leqslant X \\ \ell<|n_1^c+n_2^c-n_3^c-n_4^c|\leqslant 2\ell}} \frac{1}{|n_1^c+n_2^c-n_3^c-n_4^c|} \tag{2.8}$$

and ℓ takes the values $\frac{2^k}{\tau}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, with $\ell \ll X^c$. Then, we have

$$V_\ell \ll \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{2}<n_1,\,n_2,\,n_3,\,n_4\leqslant X \\ (n_1^c+n_2^c-n_3^c+\ell)^{1/c}\leqslant n_4\leqslant (n_1^c+n_2^c-n_3^c+2\ell)^{1/c} \\ n_1^c+n_2^c-n_3^c\asymp X^c}} 1.$$

For $\ell \geqslant 1/\tau$ and $X/2 < n_1,\, n_2,\, n_3 \leqslant X$ with $n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c \asymp X^c$, it is to see that

$$(n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c + 2\ell)^{1/c} - (n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c + \ell)^{1/c} > 1.$$

Hence,

$$V_\ell \ll \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{2}<n_1,\,n_2,\,n_3\leqslant X \\ n_1^c+n_2^c-n_3^c\asymp X^c}} \Big((n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c + 2\ell)^{1/c} - (n_1^c + n_2^c - n_3^c + \ell)^{1/c}\Big) \ll X^{4-c} \tag{2.9}$$

by the mean-value theorem.

The conclusion follows from formulas (2.6)-(2.9). ■

Lemma 2.10 *If $1 < c < 2, \tau \leqslant |x| \leqslant K$, then we have*

$$S(x) \ll X^{\frac{6+c}{8}+\eta} + X^{\frac{14}{15}+\eta}.$$

Proof. See Zhai and Cao [20], Lemma 7. ■

Lemma 2.11 *Let $N, Q \geqslant 1$ and $z_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$\Big|\sum_{n\sim N} z_n\Big|^2 \leqslant \Big(2+\frac{N}{Q}\Big) \sum_{|q|<Q} \Big(1-\frac{|q|}{Q}\Big) \sum_{N<n+q,n-q\leqslant 2N} z_{n+q}\overline{z_{n-q}}.$$

Proof. See Fouvry and Iwaniec [6], Lemma 2. ■

Lemma 2.12 *Suppose that*

$$L(H) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i H^{a_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_j H^{-b_j},$$

where A_i, B_j, a_i and b_j are positive. Assume that $H_1 \leqslant H_2$. Then there is some $\mathscr{H}$ with $H_1 \leqslant \mathscr{H} \leqslant H_2$ and

$$L(\mathscr{H}) \ll \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i H_1^{a_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_j H_2^{-b_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \big(A_i^{b_j} B_j^{a_i}\big)^{1/(a_i+b_j)}.$$

The implied constant depends only on m and n.

Proof. See Graham and Kolesnik [8], Lemma 2.4. ■

For the sum of the form

$$\sum_{M<m\leqslant M_1}\sum_{N<n\leqslant N_1} a_m b_n e(xm^c n^c)$$

with

$$MN\sim X,\ M<M_1\leqslant 2M,\ N<N_1\leqslant 2N,\ a_m\ll X^{\eta},\ b_n\ll X^{\eta}$$

for every fixed η, it is usually called a " Type I " sum, denoted by $S_I(M,N)$, if $b_n=1$ or $b_n=\log n$; otherwise it is called a " Type II " sum, denoted by $S_{II}(M,N)$.

Lemma 2.13 *Let $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{R}$, $\alpha\neq 0,1,2$, $\beta\neq 0,1,2,3$. For $F\gg MN^2$ and $N\geqslant M\geqslant 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} S_{II}(M,N) &= \sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{n\sim N} a_m b_n e\Big(F\frac{m^{\alpha}n^{\beta}}{M^{\alpha}N^{\beta}}\Big)\\ &\ll_{\alpha,\beta,\eta} (MN)^{\eta}\big(M^{7/8}N^{13/16}F^{1/16}+M^{93/104}N^{23/26}F^{1/26}\\ &\quad +M^{467/512}N^{65/64}F^{-1/128}+M^{65/72}N\big). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See Baker and Weingartner [1], Theorem 1. ■

In the rest of this section, we always suppose $2<c<33/16$, $\delta=c/2-1+\eta$, $F=|x|X^c$, $\tau\leqslant|x|\leqslant K$. Obviously, we have $X^{1-\eta}\ll F\ll KX^c$.

Lemma 2.14 *Suppose $2<c<37/18$, $b_n\ll 1$. If there holds $M\gg X^{1-72\delta/7}$, then we have*

$$S_I(M,N)=\sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{n\sim N} b_n e(xm^c n^c)\ll X^{1-\delta}.$$

Proof. Let $f(m)=xm^c n^c$. Then we have $|f^{(j)}(m)|\asymp (FM^{-1})M^{1-j}$ for $j=1,\cdots,6$. By the method of exponent pairs, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_I &\ll \sum_{n\sim N}\Big|\sum_{m\sim M} e(xm^c n^c)\Big|\\ &\ll N\big(MF^{-1}+(FM^{-1})^{\kappa}M^{\lambda}\big)\\ &\ll XF^{-1}+F^{\kappa}M^{\lambda-\kappa}N\\ &\ll X^{\eta}+K^{\kappa}X^{\kappa c}X^{\lambda-\kappa}N^{1+\kappa-\lambda}\\ &\ll (\log X)^{5\kappa}X^{\kappa c+\lambda-\kappa+(1+\kappa-\lambda)/3}. \end{aligned}$$

The last step is due to the fact that $N\asymp XM^{-1}\ll X^{72\delta/7}\ll X^{1/3}$. Taking the exponent pair $(\kappa,\lambda)=A^3(1/2,1/2)=(1/30,26/30)$, then we obtain

$$S_I(M,N)\ll X^{1-\delta}$$

by noting that $2<c<37/18$. ■

Lemma 2.15 *Suppose* $2 < c < 37/18$, $a_m \ll 1$, $b_n \ll 1$. *If there holds* $X^{72\delta/7} \ll M \ll X^{1/2}$, *then we have*

$$S_{II}(M,N) = \sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{n\sim N} a_m b_n e(xm^c n^c) \ll X^{1-\delta}.$$

Proof. Take a suitable $F_0 \geqslant MN^2$, whose value will be determined later during the following discussion. If $F \geqslant F_0$, according to Theorem 1 of Baker and Weingartner [1], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X^{-\eta}\cdot S_{II}(M,N) &\ll M^{7/8}N^{13/16}F^{1/16} + M^{93/104}N^{23/26}F^{1/26} \\ &\quad + M^{467/512}N^{65/64}F^{-1/128} + M^{65/72}N \\ &=: \mathscr{I}_1 + \mathscr{I}_2 + \mathscr{I}_3 + \mathscr{I}_4. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that if there holds $X^{72\delta/7} \ll M \ll X^{1/2}$, we obtain

$$\mathscr{I}_1 \ll X^{1-\delta},\ \mathscr{I}_2 \ll X^{1-\delta},\ \mathscr{I}_4 \ll X^{1-\delta}.$$

Therefore, for the case $F \gg F_0 \gg MN^2$, we get

$$S_{II}(M,N) \ll X^{1-\delta} + M^{467/512}N^{65/64}F_0^{-1/128}. \tag{2.10}$$

Next, we consider the case $X^{1-\eta} \ll F \ll F_0$.

Take Q satisfying $1 \ll Q \ll M$. By Cauchy's inequality and Lemma 2.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{II}|^2 &\ll \Big(\sum_{n\sim N}|b_n|^2\Big)\Big(\sum_{n\sim N}\Big|\sum_{m\sim M} a_m e(xm^c n^c)\Big|^2\Big) \\ &\ll N\sum_{n\sim N}\frac{M}{Q}\sum_{|q|<Q}\Big(1-\frac{|q|}{Q}\Big)\sum_{M<m+q,m-q\leqslant 2M} a_{m+q}\overline{a_{m-q}}e\big(xn^c\Delta_c(m,q)\big) \\ &\ll \frac{M^2N^2}{Q} + \frac{MN}{Q}\sum_{1\leqslant q<Q}\sum_{m\sim M}\Big|\sum_{n\sim N} e\big(xn^c\Delta_c(m,q)\big)\Big|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_c(m,q) = (m+q)^c - (m-q)^c$. Thus, it is sufficient to estimate the following sum

$$S_0 := \sum_{n\sim N} e\big(xn^c\Delta_c(m,q)\big).$$

By the method of exponent pairs, we get

$$S_0 \ll \frac{MN}{Fq} + \Big(\frac{Fq}{MN}\Big)^{\kappa} N^{\lambda},$$

where (κ,λ) is an arbitrary exponent pair. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|S_{II}|^2 &\ll \frac{M^2N^2}{Q}+\frac{MN}{Q}\sum_{1\leqslant q<Q}\sum_{m\sim M}\left(\frac{MN}{Fq}+\left(\frac{Fq}{MN}\right)^{\kappa}N^{\lambda}\right)\\ &\ll \frac{M^2N^2}{Q}+\frac{M^3N^2}{QF}\log Q+Q^{\kappa}F^{\kappa}M^{2-\kappa}N^{1+\lambda-\kappa}\\ &\ll \frac{M^2N^2}{Q}+Q^{\kappa}F^{\kappa}M^{2-\kappa}N^{1+\lambda-\kappa}\\ &\ll \frac{M^2N^2}{Q}+Q^{\kappa}F_0^{\kappa}M^{2-\kappa}N^{1+\lambda-\kappa}.\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$Q_0=F_0^{-\kappa/(1+\kappa)}M^{\kappa/(1+\kappa)}N^{(1+\kappa-\lambda)/(1+\kappa)}.$$

Next, we will discuss three cases of the selection of Q.

Case 1 If $Q_0<5$, then we take $Q=5$ and obtain

$$|S_{II}|^2\ll F_0^{\kappa}M^{2-\kappa}N^{1+\lambda-\kappa}.$$

Case 2 If $5\leqslant Q_0\leqslant M/2$, then we take $Q=Q_0$, and obtain

$$|S_{II}|^2\ll F_0^{\kappa/(1+\kappa)}M^{2-\kappa/(1+\kappa)}N^{2-(1+\kappa-\lambda)/(1+\kappa)}.$$

Case 3 If $Q_0>M/2$, then we take $Q=M/2$, and obtain

$$|S_{II}|^2\ll MN^2.$$

Based on the above three cases, we have

$$\begin{aligned}S_{II} &\ll M^{1/2}N+F_0^{\kappa/2}M^{1-\kappa/2}N^{(1+\lambda-\kappa)/2}\\ &\quad +F_0^{\kappa/(2+2\kappa)}M^{1-\kappa/(2+2\kappa)}N^{1-(1+\kappa-\lambda)/(2+2\kappa)}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

According to (2.10) and (2.11) and noting that $M^{1/2}N\asymp XM^{-1/2}\ll X^{1-36\delta/7}\ll X^{1-\delta}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}S_{II} &\ll X^{1-\delta}+F_0^{\kappa/(2+2\kappa)}M^{1-\kappa/(2+2\kappa)}N^{1-(1+\kappa-\lambda)/(2+2\kappa)}\\ &\quad +F_0^{\kappa/2}M^{1-\kappa/2}N^{(1+\lambda-\kappa)/2}+M^{467/512}N^{65/64}F_0^{-1/128}.\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 2.12, there exists an F_0 satisfying $MN^2\ll F_0\ll KX^c$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}S_{II} &\ll X^{1-\delta}+M^{467/512}N^{65/64}X^{-c/128}+(MN^2)^{\kappa/2}M^{1-\kappa/2}N^{(1+\lambda-\kappa)/2}\\ &\quad +(MN^2)^{\kappa/(2+2\kappa)}M^{1-\kappa/(2+2\kappa)}N^{1-(1+\kappa-\lambda)/(2+2\kappa)}\\ &\quad +\Big((M^{1-\kappa/2}N^{(1+\lambda-\kappa)/2})^{1/128}(M^{467/512}N^{65/64})^{\kappa/2}\Big)^{1/(\kappa/2+1/128)}\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\big((M^{1-\kappa/(2+2\kappa)}N^{1-(1+\kappa-\lambda)/(2+2\kappa)})^{1/128}\\
&\quad\times(M^{467/512}N^{65/64})^{\kappa/(2+2\kappa)}\big)^{1/(1/128+\kappa/(2+2\kappa))}\\
=:\ & X^{1-\delta}+\mathscr{J}_1+\mathscr{J}_2+\mathscr{J}_3+\mathscr{J}_4+\mathscr{J}_5.
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $(\kappa,\lambda)=ABABA^2B(0,1)=(1/11,3/4)$, then under the condition $X^{72\delta/7}\ll M\ll X^{1/2}$, we obtain

$$\mathscr{J}_i\ll X^{1-\delta},\qquad i=1,2,3,4,5.$$

Therefore, we have

$$S_{II}\ll X^{1-\delta}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.15. ■

Lemma 2.16 *Suppose* $2<c<37/18$, *then for* $\tau\leqslant|x|\leqslant K$ *we have*

$$S(x)\ll X^{1-\delta}.$$

Proof. First, we have

$$S(x)=U(x)+O(x^{1/2}),$$

where

$$U(x)=\sum_{X/2<n\leqslant X}\Lambda(n)e(xn^c).$$

By Heath-Brown identity [9] with $k=3$, it is easy to see that $U(x)$ can be written as $O(\log^6 X)$ sums of the form

$$U^*(x)=\sum_{n_1\sim N_1}\cdots\sum_{n_6\sim N_6}\log n_1\cdot\mu(n_4)\mu(n_5)\mu(n_6)e\big(x(n_1\cdots n_6)^c\big),$$

where $N_1,\cdots,N_6\geqslant 1$, $N_1\cdots N_6\asymp X$, $n_4,n_5,n_6\leqslant(2X)^{1/3}$ and some n_i may only take value 1.

Let $F=|x|X^c$. For $2<c<37/18$, we shall prove that for each $U^*(x)$ one has

$$U^*(x)\ll X^{1-\delta}.$$

Case 1 If there exists an N_j such that $N_j\geqslant X^{1-72\delta/7}>X^{1/2}$, then we must have $j\leqslant 3$. Take $m=n_j$, $n=\prod\limits_{i\neq j}n_i$, $M=N_j$, $N=\prod\limits_{i\neq j}N_i$. In this case, we can see that $U^*(x)$ can be written as

$$U^*(x)=\sum_{m\sim M}\sum_{n\sim N}a_mb_ne(xm^cn^c),$$

where $|a_m|\leqslant\log m$, $|b_n|\leqslant d_5(n)$. Then $U^*(x)$ is a sum of Type I. By Lemma 2.14, the result follows.

Case 2 If there exists an N_j such that $X^{72\delta/7} \leqslant N_j \leqslant X^{1-72\delta/7}$, then we take $m = n_j$, $n = \prod_{i\neq j} n_i$, $M^* = N_j$, $N^* = \prod_{i\neq j} N_i$. In this case, we can see that $U^*(x)$ can be written as

$$U^*(x) = \sum_{m\sim M^*} \sum_{n\sim N^*} a_m b_n e(xm^c n^c),$$

where $|a_m| \leqslant \log m$, $|b_n| \leqslant d_5(n)\log n$. If $X^{72\delta/7} \leqslant M^* \leqslant X^{1/2}$, then $N^* \gg X^{1/2}$ and we take $(M, N) = (M^*, N^*)$. If $X^{72\delta/7} \leqslant N^* \leqslant X^{1/2}$, then $M^* \gg X^{1/2}$ and we take $(M, N) = (N^*, M^*)$. Then $U^*(x)$ is a sum of Type II. By Lemma 2.15, the result follows.

Case 3 If $N_j < X^{72\delta/7}$ $(j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$, without loss of generality, we assume that $N_1 \geqslant N_2 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant N_6$. Let ℓ denote the smallest natural number j such that

$$N_1 N_2 \cdots N_{j-1} < X^{72\delta/7}, \quad N_1 \cdots N_j \geqslant X^{72\delta/7},$$

then $2 \leqslant \ell \leqslant 5$. Noting that $\delta < 1/36 < 7/216$, we obtain

$$X^{72\delta/7} \leqslant N_1 \cdots N_{\ell-1} \cdot N_\ell < X^{72\delta/7} \cdot X^{72\delta/7} < X^{1-72\delta/7}.$$

Let $m = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} n_i$, $n = \prod_{i=\ell+1}^{6} n_i$, $M^* = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} N_i$, $N^* = \prod_{i=\ell+1}^{6} N_i$. At this time, we can follow the discussion of Case 2 exactly and get the result by Lemma 2.15. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.16. ■

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let us denote

$$H(R) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} I^3(x)e(-Rx)\Phi(x)\mathrm{d}x, \qquad H_1(R) = \int_{-\tau}^{+\tau} I^3(x)e(-Rx)\Phi(x)\mathrm{d}x,$$
$$B_1(R) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} S^3(x)e(-Rx)\Phi(x)\mathrm{d}x, \qquad D_1(R) = \int_{-\tau}^{+\tau} S^3(x)e(-Rx)\Phi(x)\mathrm{d}x,$$
$$D_2(R) = \int_{\tau<|x|<K} S^3(x)e(-Rx)\Phi(x)\mathrm{d}x, \quad D_3(R) = \int_{|x|\geqslant K} S^3(x)e(-Rx)\Phi(x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, it is sufficient to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $1 < c < 37/18$, $c \neq 2$. Then for any sufficiently large real number N, we have*

$$\int_N^{2N} |B_1(R) - H(R)|^2 \mathrm{d}R \ll \varepsilon^2 N^{6/c-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{c}\log\frac{2N}{3}\right)^{1/5}\right). \tag{3.1}$$

3.1 Proof of Proposition 3.1

Throughout the proof of Proposition 3.1, we always set $X = (2N/3)^{1/c}$ and denote the function $\Phi(x)$ which is from Lemma 2.1 with parameters

$$a = \frac{9\varepsilon}{10}, \qquad b = \frac{\varepsilon}{10}, \qquad k = [\log X].$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_N^{2N} |B_1(R) - H(R)|^2 \mathrm{d}R \\
&= \int_N^{2N} |(D_1 - H_1) + D_2 + D_3 - (H - H_1)|^2 \mathrm{d}R \\
&\ll \int_N^{2N} |D_1 - H_1|^2 \mathrm{d}R + \int_N^{2N} |D_2|^2 \mathrm{d}R + \int_N^{2N} |D_3|^2 \mathrm{d}R + \int_N^{2N} |H - H_1|^2 \mathrm{d}R. \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we get $I(x) \ll X^{1-c}|x|^{-1}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_N^{2N} |H - H_1|^2 \mathrm{d}R \\
\ll\ & \int_N^{2N} \Big(\int_{|x|>\tau} |I(x)|^3 |\Phi(x)| \mathrm{d}x \Big)^2 \mathrm{d}R \\
\ll\ & \varepsilon^2 N \Big(\int_{|x|>\tau} |I(x)|^3 \mathrm{d}x \Big)^2 \\
\ll\ & \varepsilon^2 N X^{6-6c} \Big(\int_\tau^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x^3} \Big)^2 \ll \varepsilon^2 N \frac{X^{6-6c}}{\tau^4}. \quad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

For the third term on the right hand in (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_N^{2N} |D_3|^2 \mathrm{d}R \ &\ll \ \int_N^{2N} \Big(\int_K^{+\infty} |S(x)|^3 |\Phi(x)| \mathrm{d}x \Big)^2 \mathrm{d}R \\
&\ll \ N \Big(\int_K^{+\infty} |S(x)|^3 |\Phi(x)| \mathrm{d}x \Big)^2 \\
&\ll \ N X^6 \Big(\int_K^{+\infty} \Big(\frac{5k}{\pi x \varepsilon} \Big)^k \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x} \Big)^2 \\
&\ll \ N X^6 \Big(\frac{5k}{\pi K \varepsilon} \Big)^{2k} \ll N \frac{X^{6+2\log(5/\pi)}}{X^{4\log X}} \ll N. \quad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Take $\Omega_1 = \{R : N < R \leqslant 2N\}$, $\Omega_2 = \{x : \tau < |x| < K\}$, $\xi = S^3(x)\Phi(x)$, $\omega(x, R) = e(Rx)$, $c(R) = \overline{D_2(R)}$. Then from Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_N^{2N} |D_2(R)|^2 \mathrm{d}R \ &\leqslant \ 2A \int_\tau^K |S(x)|^6 |\Phi(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x \\
&\ll \ \mathscr{L} \cdot \max_{\tau \leqslant x \leqslant K} |S(x)|^2 \times \int_\tau^K |S(x)|^4 |\Phi(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x. \quad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

By the first derivative test, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\tau^K |S(x)|^4|\Phi(x)|^2\mathrm{d}x \ll \varepsilon^2\int_\tau^K |S(x)|^4\mathrm{d}x\\
=\ & \varepsilon^2 \sum_{\frac{X}{2}<p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4\leqslant X} (\log p_1)\cdots(\log p_4)\int_\tau^K e\left((p_1^c+p_2^c-p_3^c-p_4^c)x\right)\mathrm{d}x\\
\ll\ & \varepsilon^2\log^4 X \sum_{\frac{X}{2}<p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4\leqslant X} \min\left(K, \frac{1}{|p_1^c+p_2^c-p_3^c-p_4^c|}\right)\\
\ll\ & \sum_{\frac{X}{2}<n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4\leqslant X} \min\left(K, \frac{1}{|n_1^c+n_2^c-n_3^c-n_4^c|}\right). \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $u=n_1^c+n_2^c-n_3^c-n_4^c$. By Lemma 2.3, the contribution of K is (notice $|u|\leqslant K^{-1}$)

$$\ll K\cdot\mathscr{A}(X/2;c,K^{-1})\ll (X^{4-c}+X^2)X^\eta. \qquad (3.7)$$

By a dyadic argument, the contribution from n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 with $|u|>K^{-1}$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \log X\times \max_{K^{-1}\leqslant U\ll X^c} \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{2}<n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4\leqslant X\\ U<|u|\leqslant 2U}} \frac{1}{|u|}\\
&\ll \log X\times \max_{K^{-1}\leqslant U\ll X^c} U^{-1}\cdot\mathscr{A}(X/2;c,2U)\\
&\ll \log X\times \max_{K^{-1}\leqslant U\ll X^c} (X^{4-c}+X^2U^{-1})X^\eta\\
&\ll (X^{4-c}+X^2)X^\eta. \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\int_\tau^K |S(x)|^4|\Phi(x)|^2\mathrm{d}x \ll (X^{4-c}+X^2)X^\eta. \qquad (3.9)$$

If $1<c<2$, then from Lemma 2.10 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_N^{2N}|D_2(R)|^2\mathrm{d}R &\ll \mathscr{L}\cdot\left(X^{(6+c)/4+\eta}+X^{28/15+\eta}\right)(X^{4-c}+X^2)X^\eta\\
&\ll \left(X^{(6+c)/4+\eta}+X^{28/15+\eta}\right)X^{4-c+\eta}\\
&\ll X^{11/2-3c/4+\eta}+X^{88/15-c+\eta}\\
&\ll N^{11/(2c)-3/4+\eta}+N^{88/(15c)-1+\eta}\\
&\ll \varepsilon^2N^{6/c-1}\mathscr{L}^6E^{2/3}. \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

If $2<c<37/18$, then then from Lemma 2.16 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_N^{2N}|D_2(R)|^2\mathrm{d}R &\ll \mathscr{L}\cdot X^{4-c-2\eta}(X^{4-c}+X^2)X^\eta\\
&\ll \mathscr{L}\cdot X^{4-c-2\eta}\cdot X^{2+\eta}\ll X^{6-c-\eta}\\
&\ll N^{6/c-1-\eta}\ll\varepsilon^2N^{6/c-1}\mathscr{L}^6E^{2/3}. \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.11), for $1 < c < 37/18$, $c \neq 2$, we obtain that

$$\int_N^{2N} |D_2(R)|^2 \mathrm{d}R \ll \varepsilon^2 N^{6/c-1} \mathscr{L}^6 E^{2/3}. \tag{3.12}$$

Next, we consider the first term on the right hand in (3.2). First of all, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& |D_1(R) - H_1(R)|^2 \\
= & \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\overline{S(x)}^3 - \overline{I(x)}^3\right) e(Rx)\overline{\Phi(x)}\mathrm{d}x \times \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(S^3(y) - I^3(y)\right) e(-Ry)\Phi(y)\mathrm{d}y \\
= & \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\overline{S(x)}^3 - \overline{I(x)}^3\right) \overline{\Phi(x)} \Big(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(S^3(y) - I^3(y)\right) e\big(R(x-y)\big)\Phi(y)\mathrm{d}y \Big)\mathrm{d}x.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_N^{2N} |D_1(R) - H_1(R)|^2 \mathrm{d}R \\
= & \int_N^{2N} \Big[\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\overline{S(x)}^3 - \overline{I(x)}^3\right) \overline{\Phi(x)} \\
& \qquad \times \Big(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(S^3(y) - I^3(y)\right) e\big(R(x-y)\big)\Phi(y)\mathrm{d}y \Big)\mathrm{d}x \Big] \mathrm{d}R \\
= & \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(\overline{S(x)}^3 - \overline{I(x)}^3\right) \overline{\Phi(x)} \\
& \qquad \times \Big[\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(S^3(y) - I^3(y)\right) \Big(\int_N^{2N} e(R(x-y))\mathrm{d}R \Big)\Phi(y)\mathrm{d}y \Big]\mathrm{d}x \\
\ll & \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S^3(x) - I^3(x)||\Phi(x)| \\
& \qquad \times \Big(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S^3(y) - I^3(y)||\Phi(y)| \min\left(N, \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)\mathrm{d}y \Big)\mathrm{d}x.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Applying Cauchy's inequality to the inner integral and combining Lemma 2.9, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left|S^3(y) - I^3(y)\right| |\Phi(y)| \min\left(N, \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)\mathrm{d}y \\
\ll & \ \varepsilon \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(y) - I(y)| \left|S^2(y) + S(y)I(y) + I^2(y)\right| \min\left(N, \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)\mathrm{d}y \\
\ll & \ \varepsilon \Big(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left|S^2(y) + S(y)I(y) + I^2(y)\right|^2 \mathrm{d}y \Big)^{1/2} \\
& \qquad \times \Big(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(y) - I(y)|^2 \min\left(N, \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}y \Big)^{1/2} \\
\ll & \ \varepsilon \Big(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(y)|^4 \mathrm{d}y + \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |I(y)|^4 \mathrm{d}y \Big)^{1/2} \\
& \qquad \times \Big(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(y) - I(y)|^2 \min\left(N, \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}y \Big)^{1/2} \\
\ll & \ \varepsilon X^{2-c/2} \mathscr{L}^{5/2} \Big(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(y) - I(y)|^2 \min\left(N, \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}y \Big)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Put (3.14) into (3.13) and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_N^{2N} |D_1(R) - H_1(R)|^2 \mathrm{d}R \\
\ll\ & \varepsilon^{3/2} X^{2-c/2} \mathscr{L}^{5/2} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S^3(x) - I^3(x)||\Phi(x)|^{1/2} \\
&\times \left(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(y) - I(y)|^2 \min\left(N, \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}y \right)^{1/2} \mathrm{d}x \\
\ll\ & \varepsilon^{3/2} X^{2-c/2} \mathscr{L}^{5/2} \sup_{|x|\leqslant\tau} \left(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(y) - I(y)|^2 \min\left(N, \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)^2 |\Phi(x)| \mathrm{d}y \right)^{1/2} \\
&\times \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S^3(x) - I^3(x)| \mathrm{d}x. \qquad (3.15)
\end{aligned}$$

On one hand, by Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S^3(x) - I^3(x)| \mathrm{d}x &\leqslant \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(x)|^3 \mathrm{d}x + \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |I(x)|^3 \mathrm{d}x \\
&\ll X \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x + X \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |I(x)|^2 \mathrm{d}x \\
&\ll X^{3-c} \mathscr{L}^3. \qquad (3.16)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(y) - I(y)|^2 \min\left(N, \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)^2 |\Phi(x)| \mathrm{d}y \\
\ll\ & \varepsilon N^2 \int_{y \in \left(x - \frac{P}{N}, x + \frac{P}{N}\right) \cap [-\tau, \tau]} |S(y) - I(y)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}y + \varepsilon \frac{N^2}{P^2} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(y) - I(y)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}y \\
\ll\ & \varepsilon N^2 X^{2-c} P E^2 + \varepsilon \frac{N^2}{P^2} X^{2-c} \mathscr{L}^3 \\
\ll\ & \varepsilon N^2 X^{2-c} E^{4/3} \mathscr{L}^3. \qquad (3.17)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) we obtain

$$\int_N^{2N} |D_1(R) - H_1(R)|^2 \mathrm{d}R \ll \varepsilon^2 N^{6/c-1} \mathscr{L}^7 E^{2/3}. \qquad (3.18)$$

From (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), (3.12) and (3.18), we know that the conclusion of Proposition 3.1 follows.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For $R \in (N, 2N]$, we set

$$B := B(R) = \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{2} < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leqslant X \\ |p_1^c + p_2^c + p_3^c - R| < \varepsilon}} (\log p_1)(\log p_2)(\log p_3).$$

From Proposition 3.1, we can claim that if $1 < c < 37/18$, $c \neq 2$, there exists a set $\mathfrak{P} \subset (N, 2N]$ satisfying

$$|\mathfrak{P}| \ll N \exp\Big(- \frac{2}{15}\Big(\frac{1}{c}\log\frac{2N}{3}\Big)^{1/5}\Big), \tag{3.19}$$

such that

$$B_1(R) = H(R) + O\bigg(\varepsilon N^{\frac{3}{c}-1}\exp\Big(- \frac{1}{10}\Big(\frac{1}{c}\log\frac{2N}{3}\Big)^{1/5}\Big)\bigg)$$

for all $R \in (N, 2N] \setminus \mathfrak{P}$.

Actually, from Proposition 3.1, for $R \in \mathfrak{P}$, we have

$$B_1(R) - H(R) \gg \varepsilon N^{\frac{3}{c}-1}\exp\Big(- \frac{1}{10}\Big(\frac{1}{c}\log\frac{2N}{3}\Big)^{1/5}\Big). \tag{3.20}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon^2 N^{6/c-1}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{c}\log\frac{2N}{3}\right)^{1/5}\right) \\
\gg & \int_N^{2N} |B_1(R) - H(R)|^2 \mathrm{d}R \\
\gg & \int_{\mathfrak{P}} |B_1(R) - H(R)|^2 \mathrm{d}R \\
\gg & |\mathfrak{P}| \cdot \varepsilon^2 N^{6/c-2}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{1}{c}\log\frac{2N}{3}\right)^{1/5}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and (3.19) follows.

As in [18], by the Fourier transformation formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1(R) &= \sum_{\frac{X}{2} < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leqslant X} \log p_1 \cdot \log p_2 \cdot \log p_3 \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e\big((p_1^c + p_2^c + p_3^c - R)x\big)\Phi(x)\mathrm{d}x \\
&= \sum_{\frac{X}{2} < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leqslant X} \log p_1 \cdot \log p_2 \cdot \log p_3 \cdot \varphi\left(p_1^c + p_2^c + p_3^c - R\right) \leqslant B(R).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence Theorem 1.1 follows from the inequality

$$H(R) \gg \varepsilon R^{3/c-1},$$

which can be proved proceeding as in [18], Lemma 6. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Throughout the proof of Theorem 1.2, we we always set $X = (N/5)^{1/c}$ and denote the function $\varphi(y)$ which is from Lemma 2.1 with parameters

$$a = \frac{9\varepsilon}{10}, \qquad b = \frac{\varepsilon}{10}, \qquad k = [\log X].$$

Let

$$\mathscr{B} = \sum_{\substack{\frac{X}{2} < p_1, \cdots, p_6 \leqslant X \\ |p_1^c + \cdots + p_6^c - N| < \varepsilon}} (\log p_1)(\log p_2) \cdots (\log p_6).$$

Set

$$\mathscr{B}_1 = \sum_{\frac{X}{2} < p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_6 \leqslant X} (\log p_1)(\log p_2) \cdots (\log p_6) \cdot \varphi(p_1^c + \cdots + p_6^c - N).$$

By the definition of φ, we have

$$\mathscr{B} \geqslant \mathscr{B}_1. \tag{4.1}$$

The Fourier transformation formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{B}_1 &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} S^6(x) e(-Nx) \Phi(x) \mathrm{d}x \\ &=: \mathscr{D}_1 + \mathscr{D}_2 + \mathscr{D}_3, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{D}_1 &= \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} S^6(x) e(-Nx) \Phi(x) \mathrm{d}x, \\ \mathscr{D}_2 &= \int_{\tau < |x| < K} S^6(x) e(-Nx) \Phi(x) \mathrm{d}x, \\ \mathscr{D}_3 &= \int_{|x| \geqslant K} S^6(x) e(-Nx) \Phi(x) \mathrm{d}x. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\mathscr{D}_3 \ll \int_K^{+\infty} |S(x)|^6 |\Phi(x)| \mathrm{d}x \ll X^6 \int_K^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x} \left(\frac{5k}{\pi x \varepsilon} \right)^k \mathrm{d}x \ll 1. \tag{4.3}$$

Let

$$\mathscr{H}_1 = \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} I^6(x) e(-Nx) \Phi(x) \mathrm{d}x$$

and

$$\mathscr{H} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} I^6(x) e(-Nx) \Phi(x) \mathrm{d}x,$$

then

$$\mathscr{D}_1 = \mathscr{H} + (\mathscr{H}_1 - \mathscr{H}) + (\mathscr{D}_1 - \mathscr{H}_1). \tag{4.4}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we get $I(x) \ll X^{1-c}|x|^{-1}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{H}_1 - \mathscr{H} &\ll \int_{\tau}^{+\infty} |I(x)|^6 |\Phi(x)| \mathrm{d}x \\ &\ll X^{6-6c} \int_{\tau}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x^7} \\ &\ll X^{6-6c}\tau^{-6} \ll X^{6\eta}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

According to Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{D}_1 - \mathscr{H}_1 &\ll \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left|S^6(x) - I^6(x)\right| |\Phi(x)| \,\mathrm{d}x \\ &\ll \varepsilon \cdot \max_{|x|\leqslant\tau} |S(x) - I(x)| \times \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(|S(x)|^5 + |I(x)|^5\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\ll \varepsilon X^3 \cdot \max_{|x|\leqslant\tau} |S(x) - I(x)| \times \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \left(|S(x)|^2 + |I(x)|^2\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\ll \varepsilon X^{5-c} \log^3 X \times \max_{|x|\leqslant\tau} |S(x) - I(x)| \\ &\ll \varepsilon X^{6-c} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log X)^{1/5}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

So Lemma 2.4 combining (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6) yields

$$\mathscr{D}_1 \gg \varepsilon X^{6-c}. \tag{4.7}$$

For $\mathscr{D}_2$, we have

$$\mathscr{D}_2 \ll \max_{\tau<|x|<K} |S(x)|^2 \times \int_{\tau<|x|<K} |S(x)|^4 |\Phi(x)| \,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{4.8}$$

For the integral on the right hand in (4.8), we can exactly follow the process of (3.9) and obtain

$$\int_{\tau<|x|<K} |S(x)|^4 |\Phi(x)| \,\mathrm{d}x \ll (X^{4-c} + X^2)X^{3\eta}. \tag{4.9}$$

If $1 < c < 2$, then from (4.8), Lemma 2.10 and (4.9) we get

$$\mathscr{D}_2 \ll (X^{(6+c)/4+\eta} + X^{28/15+\eta})(X^{4-c} + X^2)X^{\eta} \ll X^{6-c-\eta}. \tag{4.10}$$

If $2 < c < 37/18$, then from (4.8), Lemma 2.16 and (4.9) we get

$$\mathscr{D}_2 \ll X^{2-2\delta}(X^{4-c} + X^2)X^{\eta} \ll X^{2-2\delta} \cdot X^{2+\eta} \ll X^{6-c-\eta}. \tag{4.11}$$

From (4.1), (4.2), (4.3), (4.7), (4.10) and (4.11) we get

$$\mathscr{B} \geqslant \mathscr{B}_1 = \mathscr{D}_1 + \mathscr{D}_2 + \mathscr{D}_3 \gg \varepsilon X^{6-c},$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 .

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express the most and the greatest sincere gratitude to Professor Wenguang Zhai for his valuable advice and constant encouragement.

References

[1] R. Baker and A. Weingartner, *Some applications of the double large sieve*, Monatsh. Math., **170** (3/4) (2013), 261–304.

[2] R. Baker and A. Weingartner, *A ternary Diophantine inequality over primes*, Acta Arith., **162** (2014), 159–196.

[3] Y. C. Cai, *On a Diophantine inequality involving prime numbers*, Acta Math. Sinica, **39** (1996), 733–742 (in Chinese).

[4] Y. C. Cai, *On a Diophantine inequality involving prime numbers (II)*, Acta Math. Sinica, **15** (1999), 387–394.

[5] X. D. Cao and W. G. Zhai, *A Diophantine Inequality with Prime Numbers*, Acta Math. Sinica, **45** (2) (2002), 361–370 (in Chinese).

[6] E. Fouvry and H. Iwaniec, *Exponential sums with monomials*, J. Number Theory, **33** (1989), 311–333.

[7] M. Z. Garaev, *On the Waring-Goldbach problem with small non-integer exponent*, Acta Arith., **108** (2003), 297–302.

[8] S. W. Graham and G. Kolesnik, Van der Corput's Method of Exponential Sums. Cambridge University Press (1991).

[9] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Prime numbers in short intervals and a generalized Vaughan identity*, Canad, J. Math., **34** (1982), 1365–1377.

[10] A. Kumchev, *A Diophantine inequality involving prime powers*, Acta Arith., **89** (1999), 311–330.

[11] A. Kumchev and T. Nedeva, *On an equation with prime numbers*, Acta Arith., **83** (1998), 117–126.

[12] M. B. S. Laporta, *On a binary diophantine inequality involving prime numbers*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica., **83** (3) (1999), 179–187.

[13] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *On a variant of Waring-Goldbachs problem*, Mat. Sb., **30** (72) (1) (1952), 105–120 (in Russian).

[14] O. Robert and P. Sargos, *Three-dimensional exponential sums with monomials*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **591** (2006), 1–20.

[15] B. I. Segal, *On a theorem analogous to Warings theorem*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N. S.), **2** (1933), 47–49 (in Russian).

[16] S. Y. Shi and L. Liu, *On a Diophantine inequality involving prime powers*, Monatsh. Math., **169** (3/4) (2013), 423–440.

[17] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*. 2nd edn. Oxford University Press (1986).

[18] D. I. Tolev, *On a Diophantine inequality involving prime numbers*, Acta Arith., **61** (1992), 289–306.

[19] I. M. Vinogradov, *Representation of an odd number as the sum of three primes*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **15** (1937), 291–294 (in Russian).

[20] W. G. Zhai and X. D. Cao, *On a Diophantine inequality over primes*, Adv. Math. (China), **32** (1) (2003), 63–73.

[21] W. G. Zhai and X. D. Cao, *On a binary Diophantine Inequality*, Adv. Math. (China), **6** (2003), 706–721.

[22] W. G. Zhai and X. D. Cao, *On a Diophantine inequality over primes (II)*, Monatsh. Math., **150** (2) (2007), 173–179.